

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/698,962	10/30/2003	James R. Casciani	009103-009740US TYHC:0095	8826
52144 Nellcor Purita	7590 05/17/2007 n Bennett LLC	·	EXAMINER	
c/o Fletcher Y	c/o Fletcher Yoder PC WINAKUR, ER		RIC FRANK	
	P.O. BOX 692289 HOUSTON, TX 77269-2289		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
110051011, 1	22 7 7 20 7 220 7		3768	
•				
		•	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/17/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	A	——
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Occurrence	10/698,962	CASCIANI ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Eric F. Winakur	3768	•
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeared for Reply	opears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address -	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING IT Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNION. 136(a). In no event, however, may a red will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON ate, cause the application to become AB	CATION. eply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this communical ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 d This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ Th Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matt	-	s is
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 72-75 and 84-87 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) 73-75 and 85-87 is/ 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 72 and 84 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	are withdrawn from conside	eration.	
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examination The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination is objected.	ccepted or b) objected to leed on by objected to leed on abeyand oction is required if the drawing	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.12	• •
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. Ints have been received in A Ority documents have been au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s	ummary (PTO-413))/Mail Date formal Patent Application 	

Art Unit: 3768

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

- 1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 22 February 2007 has been entered.
- 2. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Election/Restrictions

3. Applicant's election with traverse of the election in the reply filed on 22 February 2007 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that the withdrawal of the dependent claims from consideration was improper. This is not found persuasive because, as noted in the prior Office action, the withdrawal was based on Applicant's previous election (see the election **without traverse** in the reply filed 26 May 2005). Once Applicant elects an invention for prosecution, Applicant is not permitted to shift the elected subject matter. Applicant properly notes that upon allowance of the independent claims the requirement will be withdrawn and the dependent claims should also be allowed.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The rejection of claims 72 and 84 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lewis et al. in view of Baker et al. is hereby maintained for the reasons of record.

Double Patenting .

5. The provisional rejection of claims 72 and 84 on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim 14 of copending Application No. 11/407,725 is hereby maintained for the reasons of record.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

6. The provisional rejection of claims 72 and 84 on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim 9 of copending Application No. 11/407,771 is hereby maintained for the reasons of record.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments filed 22 February 2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant alleges that because Lewis and Baker perform different measurement techniques that require different processing the references "teach away" from each other and the theoretical combination. Applicant contends that as a result, the combination is completely inappropriate. Contrary to Applicant's assertions, the combination is not inappropriate and the teachings of the references

suggest the claimed invention. In particular, the teaching of Baker was merely relied upon to show that 805 nm and 880 nm were known isobestic points of hemoglobin. The interaction of isobestic light with a hemoglobin molecule is not affected by the location in the body where the interaction occurs. That the references ultimately process their detected signals in different manners does not "teach away" from the combination, as Baker was merely relied upon to teach known details of the "physics" of light interacting with hemoglobin. The rejection is thus proper and is hereby maintained.

8. Applicant's filing of a terminal disclaimer with regard to the obviousness-type double patenting rejections is noted and has been accepted. Applicant's remarks regarding the provisional rejections under obviousness-type double patenting are noted. As conflicting claims remain between the co-pending applications, it is proper to maintain the rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Eric F. Winakur whose telephone number is 571/272-4736. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th, 7:30-5; alternate Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eleni Mantis-Mercader can be reached on 571/272-4740. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/698,962

Art Unit: 3768

Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 871-272-1000.

Eric F Winakur Primary Examiner Art Unit 3768